

## Decreased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and insulin resistance were the most common criteria in 12- to 19-year-old adolescents

Nurten Budak · Ahmet Öztürk · Mümtaz Mazicioglu ·  
Cevad Yazici · Fahri Bayram · Selim Kurtoglu

Received: 10 February 2009 / Accepted: 4 October 2009 / Published online: 30 October 2009  
© Springer-Verlag 2009

### Abstract

**Background** Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is characterized by a group of metabolic risk factors leading to an increase in diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases. It is known that the pathologic processes such as hyperinsulinemia and atherogenic risk profile associated with the development of MetS begin during childhood and adolescence.

**Aim of the study** To determine the prevalence of MetS and assess the association between MetS and certain demographic and lifestyle factors in a representative adolescent population.

**Methods** The study was carried out in central and ten districts located around Kayseri Province, Central Anatolia. A total of 790 adolescents aged from 12 to 19 years were selected systematically from the schools. Criteria of MetS were modified from Adult Treatment Panel III: (1) waist circumference > 90th percentile (aged between 12 and 17 years) and >102 cm in male, >88 cm in female (for aged 18 and 19 years), (2) serum triglycerides [ $\geq 136$  mg/dl (aged between 12 and 16 years) and  $\geq 150$  mg/dl (for 17 and 19 years)], (3) high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [ $\leq 40$  mg/dl (males) and  $\leq 50$  mg/dl (females)], (4) systolic blood pressure  $\geq 95$ th percentile for gender, age and height, (5) insulin resistance HOMA index  $< 3.16$ . Multivariate regression model was performed to search for the association between MetS and demographic and lifestyle factors including gender, age, body mass index, settlement, socioeconomic class, smoking habit, physical activity and family history for diseases (cardiovascular diseases and diabetes mellitus).

**Results** The overall prevalence of MetS was found as 10.8%. The prevalence was significantly higher in males than in females (13.5 and 8.6%, respectively). Low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and insulin resistance were the most common criteria of the syndrome. According to the analysis, only gender and high socioeconomic class were weak-positive related factors with MetS.

**Conclusions** High prevalence of MetS especially among overweight and obese adolescents is a serious health problem. Early identification of the syndrome would contribute greatly to the prevention of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases in youth.

**Keywords** Metabolic syndrome · Insulin resistance · High-density lipoprotein cholesterol · Adolescents

---

N. Budak (✉)  
Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Atatürk School of Health,  
Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey  
e-mail: nbudak@erciyes.edu.tr

A. Öztürk  
Department of Biostatistics, Medical Faculty,  
Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

M. Mazicioglu  
Department of Family Medicine, Medical Faculty,  
Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

C. Yazici  
Department of Biochemistry and Clinical Biochemistry,  
Medical Faculty, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

F. Bayram  
Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism,  
Department of Internal Medicine, Medical Faculty,  
Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

S. Kurtoglu  
Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism,  
Department of Pediatrics, Medical Faculty,  
Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

## Introduction

A cluster of diabetes mellitus (DM) and cardiovascular diseases (CVD) risk factors including central adiposity, insulin resistance (IR), alterations in lipid metabolism and hypertension have been referred to metabolic syndrome (MetS) [21]. The prevalence of MetS among adults has increased markedly in different countries, including Turkey. However, in the years 2007, the prevalence of MetS was reported as almost 35.0% in Turkish adults [2, 4, 16, 24, 29, 34]. Although the pathologic processes such as hyperinsulinemia and atherogenic risk profile associated with development of MetS begin during childhood, the frequency of MetS among children and adolescence was demonstrated with a few studies [1, 9, 14].

The pathogenesis of MetS is still unclear; nevertheless, there is an increasing amount of data to show that being overweight and obese in childhood and adolescence are significantly associated with MetS [1, 3, 9, 14]. Thus, excess body weight and body fat were found to be associated with increasing plasma insulin, lipids, lipoproteins levels and also elevated blood pressure in children [6, 30, 37, 40, 43, 45, 46]. Furthermore, data are available about relationships of some lifestyle and environmental factors such as low family income, social class, education level, physical activity and smoking habit with MetS in adults [35, 39, 41]. In this concept, also determining the environmental and lifestyle factors associated with MetS in adolescence may contribute to development of prevention and treatment strategies at an early age.

The aims of this study were: (1) to determine the prevalence of MetS; (2) to assess the association between MetS and certain demographic and lifestyle variables among adolescents living in Kayseri where the prevalence of MetS has previously been reported as high among adults [4].

## Materials and methods

### Study design and subjects

This study was carried out in two of the central and ten surrounding districts of Kayseri Province located in Central Anatolia. Kayseri constitutes 2.2% of the land of Turkey and almost 34.0% of adult population have MetS [4].

The study team consisted of two endocrinologists from Divisions of Internal Medicine and Paediatrics, specialists for nutrition and dietetics, family physician, biostatistics and clinical biochemistry. The study protocol was approved by Medical Faculty Ethics Committee, Erciyes University.

The group of this cross-sectional study was made up of adolescents aged between 12 and 19 years. The

optimal sample size of the study was calculated as 812 adolescents.

The study was designed in two stages: in the first stage, the schools representing the city centre and districts were selected randomly by the stratified sampling method according to low, medium and high socioeconomic levels. In the second stage, adolescents have been sampled randomly from the schools' enrolments. The study team visited the selected schools to explain the study in detail to the adolescents and their parents. As a priority of the study written consent was obtained from the parents of adolescents and all other procedures applied were in accordance with those outlined by the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Anthropometric and SBP measurements

Of the 812 adolescents, 790 (97.3%) accepted to participate in the study and gave consent to measure the body weight, height, waist circumference (WC) and systolic blood pressure (SBP) and also get blood sample for lipids, glucose and insulin analysis.

The weight of adolescents in minimal clothes was measured by a portable scale to an accuracy of 0.1 kg. The height of adolescents without shoes standing straight with back, buttock, heels and head against the wall was measured by using a portable measuring device to an accuracy of  $\pm 0.5$  cm. WC was measured at the site of the shortest circumference between the umbilicus and the superior border of the iliac crest by a flexible tape measure when the adolescents were in standing position. SBP was measured on the right arm with a standard mercury sphygmomanometer after resting period.

### Biochemical analysis

The blood samples of adolescents were drawn after a 12-h overnight fast at school between 08.00 and 09.00 hours. Serum was centrifuged directly at the school (for 10 min, at room temperature). Serum samples were transported at optimal conditions from the schools to the Biochemistry Laboratory of Erciyes University where the samples were examined. Samples were stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until analysis. Total high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglyceride and glucose were analysed with routine enzymatic procedures (Beckman Coulter LX-20 Analyzer, Ireland). Intra-assay/inter-assay coefficients of variations (CV) were 3/4.5%; 3/4.5% and 2/3% for HDL-C, triglyceride and glucose, respectively. Insulin was determined with Biosource IRMA immunoassay (Biosource Europe S.A.-Rue de l'Industrie, 8-B1400 Nivelles, Belgium). The intra-assay and inter-assay CVs for insulin were 2.2 and 6.5%, respectively.

## Definition of metabolic syndrome

Criteria of MetS were adopted from Adult Treatment Panel (ATP III) not having been applied to adolescents, but those based on large research trials and the most widely using in diagnosis of MetS [19]. MetS was diagnosed when at least three of the five criteria were fulfilled:

1. *Abdominal obesity* WC > 90th percentile (aged 12–17 years) and >102 cm in male and >88 cm in female (aged 18 and 19 years) [20, 27].
2. *Hypertriglyceridemia* Serum triglycerides  $\geq$  136 mg/dl (aged 12–16 years) and  $\geq$ 150 mg/dl (aged 17–19 years) [2, 19].
3. *Low HDL-C* Serum HDL-C > 40 mg/dl for male and >50 mg/dl for female [19].
4. *Elevated SBP* SBP  $\geq$  95th percentile for gender, age, height (aged 12-to-18 years) and >130 mmHg (aged 19 years) [32, 44].
5. *IR* HOMA index < 3.16 [homeostasis model assessment; fasting plasma insulin ( $\mu$ U/ml)  $\times$  fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl)/22.5] [22].

## Demographic and lifestyle factors

Age, gender, settlement, socioeconomic class, physical activity status, smoking habit and family history of chronic diseases were selected as certain factors that may be associated with MetS. Data were collected by a structured questionnaire. Information of socioeconomic class was obtained from the Province National Education Directorate. BMI [weight (kg)/height<sup>2</sup> (m)] for age and gender between  $\geq$ 85th and <95th percentile and BMI  $\geq$  95th percentile for adolescents aged below 18 years were defined as overweight and obesity, respectively. And also BMI score of 25 and 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for aged 18 and 19 years were defined as overweight and obese, respectively [8]. Physical activity status was evaluated for both duration of daily physical activity in minutes and frequency of weekly physical activity performed by adolescents. The intensity of physical activity accepted as moderate was described as the activity raising the heart beat and leaving the person feeling warm and slightly out of breath equivalent to 3–6 times the resting level. Smoking habit was categorized as current smoker and never smoked. Family history of chronic diseases including DM, hypertension and other CVD were assessed by self-report of adolescents.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 13.0 (SPSS Inc.,

Chicago, Illinois, USA). Numerical results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), and also median (minimum–maximum). Categorical results were expressed as  $n$  (%). Prevalence of MetS was given in 95% confidence interval (CI). Normality distribution of the data was tested using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Differences between groups were assessed using Student's  $t$  test for normal and Mann–Whitney  $U$  test for non-normal distributed data. The difference between each gender for criteria of MetS was tested by Chi-square test. The association between MetS and demographic and lifestyle factors were examined by multivariate regression model. A  $P$  value was less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

## Results

The prevalence of MetS was studied in 441 female (55.8%) and 349 male (44.2%) a total of 790 adolescents aged 12–19 years. The overall prevalence was found as 10.8% (95% CI, 8.7–13.1) and higher in males than in females (13.5 and 8.6%, respectively) ( $P < 0.001$ ).

The mean age of adolescents with MetS and without MetS were  $15.4 \pm 1.7$  years and  $15.9 \pm 1.9$  years, respectively ( $P < 0.001$ ). Although there was no significant difference between the heights of adolescents with MetS and without MetS, weight, WC and BMI of adolescents with MetS were significantly higher than those of adolescents without MetS ( $P < 0.001$ ). While 38.8 and 24.7% of adolescents with MetS were overweight and obese, respectively, 11.2 and 1.4% of adolescents without MetS were overweight and obese, respectively ( $P < 0.001$ ) (Table 1).

Metabolic characteristics and SBP of adolescents are presented in Table 2. Whereas SBP, HDL-C, triglyceride, insulin levels and HOMA index of adolescents with and without MetS were significantly different ( $P < 0.001$ ), total cholesterol and glucose levels of adolescents with and without MetS were not different.

More than half of the adolescents with MetS had low HDL-C (49.4%), one of third of them had IR (34.7%) and one fifth had elevated SBP (18.1%). The least common criterion of MetS was hypertriglyceridemia (7.5%). On the other hand, low HDL-C and IR were more common in females than in males. In contrast, high WC, elevated SBP and hypertriglyceridemia were more prevalent in males than in females ( $P < 0.001$ ) (Table 3).

The multiple logistic regression analysis of the correlations between MetS and certain demographic and lifestyle variables are given in Table 4. According to the analysis, only gender and high socioeconomic class were weak-positive related factors with MetS.

**Table 1** Age and anthropometric characteristics of adolescents

| Characteristics               | Overall ( <i>n</i> = 790) |                  | Adolescents with MetS ( <i>n</i> = 85) |                  | Adolescents without MetS ( <i>n</i> = 705) |                  | <i>P</i> value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
|                               | $\bar{x} \pm SD$          | Median (range)   | $\bar{x} \pm SD$                       | Median (range)   | $\bar{x} \pm SD$                           | Median (range)   |                |
| Age (year)                    | 15.8 $\pm$ 1.9            | 16.0 (12–19)     | 15.4 $\pm$ 1.7                         | 15.0 (12–19)     | 15.9 $\pm$ 1.9                             | 16.0 (12–19)     | <0.001         |
| Height (cm)                   | 162 $\pm$ 9.8             | 162.0 (127–195)  | 165.7 $\pm$ 9.9                        | 165 (144–195)    | 161.7 $\pm$ 9.8                            | 162 (127–194)    | 0.002          |
| Weight (kg)                   | 56.2 $\pm$ 12.6           | 54.5 (28–129)    | 70.9 $\pm$ 17.6                        | 68.8 (40–129)    | 54.5 $\pm$ 10.5                            | 53.2 (28–95.5)   | <0.001         |
| Waist circumference (cm)      | 66.0 $\pm$ 8.9            | 65.0 (45–110)    | 79.1 $\pm$ 11.0                        | 78.0 (54–109)    | 64.4 $\pm$ 7.2                             | 64.0 (45–110)    | <0.001         |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )      | 21.2 $\pm$ 3.6            | 20.6 (13.8–37.5) | 25.6 $\pm$ 4.8                         | 25.1 (16.7–37.5) | 20.7 $\pm$ 3.0                             | 20.4 (13.8–33.2) | <0.001         |
| BMI category [% ( <i>n</i> )] |                           |                  |                                        |                  |                                            |                  |                |
| Overweight                    | 14.2 (112)                |                  | 38.8 (33)                              |                  | 11.2 (79)                                  |                  | <0.001         |
| Obese                         | 3.9 (31)                  |                  | 24.7 (21)                              |                  | 1.4 (10)                                   |                  | <0.001         |

**Table 2** Metabolic characteristics of adolescents

| Characteristics                | Overall ( <i>n</i> = 790) |                 | Adolescents with MetS ( <i>n</i> = 85) |                 | Adolescents without MetS ( <i>n</i> = 705) |                 | <i>P</i> value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
|                                | $\bar{x} \pm SD$          | Median (range)  | $\bar{x} \pm SD$                       | Median (range)  | $\bar{x} \pm SD$                           | Median (range)  |                |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 119.4 $\pm$ 14.8          | 120.0 (70–200)  | 136.9 $\pm$ 17.9                       | 140 (100–200)   | 117.3 $\pm$ 12.9                           | 120.0 (70–170)  | <0.001         |
| Total cholesterol              | 155.7 $\pm$ 33.4          | 151.0 (80–348)  | 159.7 $\pm$ 39.2                       | 156.0 (80–348)  | 155.3 $\pm$ 32.6                           | 151.0 (86–280)  | 0.294          |
| HDL- cholesterol (mg/dl)       | 47.3 $\pm$ 12.4           | 46.8 (19–102)   | 39.7 $\pm$ 9.7                         | 39 (20–75)      | 48.2 $\pm$ 12.3                            | 47.0 (19–102)   | <0.001         |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl)           | 78.9 $\pm$ 40.9           | 70.0 (18–350)   | 122.1 $\pm$ 66.7                       | 106 (31–350)    | 73.7 $\pm$ 33.0                            | 67 (18–241)     | <0.001         |
| Glucose (mg/dl)                | 83.0 $\pm$ 14.2           | 83.0 (50–132)   | 86.4 $\pm$ 13.5                        | 86.0 (53–119)   | 82.6 $\pm$ 14.3                            | 82.0 (50–132)   | 0.018          |
| Insulin ( $\mu$ U/ml)          | 14.9 $\pm$ 9.9            | 12.1 (0.2–71.9) | 26.9 $\pm$ 15.1                        | 22.2 (5.8–71.9) | 13.5 $\pm$ 8.0                             | 11.6 (0.2–67.4) | <0.001         |
| Insulin resistance (HOMA)      | 3.1 $\pm$ 2.1             | 2.5 (0.03–15.7) | 5.7 $\pm$ 3.2                          | 4.6 (1.06–15.7) | 2.8 $\pm$ 1.7                              | 2.4 (0.03–13.6) | <0.001         |

**Table 3** The prevalence of metabolic syndrome criteria based on gender

| Criteria             | Total ( <i>n</i> = 790) |                      | Female ( <i>n</i> = 441) |                      | Male ( <i>n</i> = 349) |                      |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
|                      | <i>n</i> (%)            | 95% CI (lower–upper) | <i>n</i> (%)             | 95% CI (lower–upper) | <i>n</i> (%)           | 95% CI (lower–upper) |
| Low HDL-C            | 390 (49.4)              | 45.8–52.9            | 255 (57.8)               | 53.1–62.5            | 135 (38.7)*            | 33.5–44.0            |
| IR                   | 274 (34.7)              | 31.4–38.1            | 159 (36.1)               | 31.6–40.7            | 115 (33.0)*            | 28.0–38.2            |
| Elevated SBP         | 143 (18.1)              | 15.5–20.9            | 60 (13.6)                | 10.5–17.2            | 83 (23.8)*             | 19.4–28.6            |
| High WC              | 84 (10.6)               | 8.5–13.0             | 38 (8.6)                 | 6.2–11.6             | 46 (13.2)*             | 9.8–17.2             |
| Hypertriglyceridemia | 59 (7.5)                | 5.7–9.5              | 25 (5.7)                 | 3.7–8.2              | 34 (9.7)*              | 6.8–13.3             |

*HDL-C* High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, *HOMA-IR* homeostasis model assessment, *SBP* systolic blood pressure, *WC* waist circumference

\* *P* < 0.001

## Discussion

MetS with 10.8% rate is very important health problem among also adolescents living in Kayseri, Turkey. The prevalence was found similar to reported among adolescents living in Iran, United States and Korea (10.1, 9.2 and 9.2%, respectively), but lower than only reported in rural Georgia (15.0%) [12, 14, 15, 23]. The prevalence, which was almost one third of those adults population living in Kayseri, was probably due to lifestyle of the families.

The prevalence of MetS varies by gender. However, males tended to have higher prevalence of MetS compared with females consistent with the data reported by Cook et al. [9] and Cruz et al. [10]. In contrast, Davis et al. [12], Esmailzadeh et al. [14] and Lambert et al. [25] showed no differences in the prevalence of MetS between genders. Although the reason for the rapid increase in MetS in males seems unclear, it may depend on the pubertal differences at these ages. Also males have higher WC than females may explain higher prevalence of MetS in males.

**Table 4** Univariate and multiple logistic regression analysis (backward Wald) of MetS and certain variables

| Variable                                    | Univariate logistic regression analysis |                      | Multiple logistic regression analysis (backward Wald) |                      |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                             | Odds ratio                              | 95% CI (lower–upper) | Odds ratio (lower–upper)                              | 95% CI (lower–upper) |
| Gender                                      |                                         |                      |                                                       |                      |
| Female                                      | 1                                       |                      | –                                                     | –                    |
| Male                                        | 1.650                                   | 1.049–2.569          |                                                       |                      |
| Age                                         | 0.884                                   | 0.787–0.993          | –                                                     | –                    |
| Settlement                                  |                                         |                      |                                                       |                      |
| Province                                    | 1                                       |                      | –                                                     | –                    |
| District                                    | 1.063                                   | 0.606–1.864          |                                                       |                      |
| Socioeconomic class                         |                                         |                      |                                                       |                      |
| Low                                         | 1                                       |                      | –                                                     | –                    |
| Medium                                      | 1.514                                   | 0.844–2.717          |                                                       |                      |
| High                                        | 3.033                                   | 1.622–5.673          |                                                       |                      |
| Smoking habit                               |                                         |                      |                                                       |                      |
| No                                          | 1                                       |                      | –                                                     | –                    |
| Yes                                         | 1.515                                   | 0.764–3.003          |                                                       |                      |
| Physical activity status                    | 1.218                                   | 0.776–1.910          |                                                       |                      |
| Presence of diseases in family <sup>a</sup> | 1.552                                   | 0.928–2.594          | –                                                     | –                    |

CI confidence interval

<sup>a</sup> Hypertension, diabetes and CVD

While Salazar et al. [38] reported the main components of MetS as elevated SBP and impaired fasting glucose among adolescents, the main features of MetS in Turkish adolescents were IR and low HDL-C consistent with the data reported by Agirbasli et al. [1] and Esmailzadeh et al. [14]. Turkish adolescents face significant further risks of both DM and CVD because both have positive relationships between IR and low HDL-C level among youth [10] and having low HDL-C which is main characteristic of lipid metabolism also of Turkish adults [26]. This result may reflect the change in nutritional habits towards consumption of foods, especially containing saturated fat and high-refined carbohydrate and low fibre from vegetables, fruits, whole grains and also processed foods such as soft drinks and fast foods together with sedentary life style depending on social and economic development of Turkish population. Just as Ekelund et al. [13] and McMurry et al. [28] showed that low levels of childhood physical activity and aerobic fitness were associated with the presence of MetS in adolescence and physical inactivity was a metabolic risk indicator in adolescents. However, being overweight and obese were the most related factors to MetS [2, 7, 10, 11, 36, 46–48]. Central obesity is also the most prevalent criterion of MetS. Also the family history of obesity is a strong determinant of childhood obesity in addition to a considerable risk factor in the tracking of childhood obesity to adulthood [4, 5, 18, 33]. In this concept, especially family-based lifestyle intervention has beneficial effects on associated factors related to MetS,

confirmed by Monzavi et al. [31]. There was an association between MetS and socioeconomic class in our study in accordance with other studies [17, 42]. It seems that MetS is more prevalent among adolescents representing high socioeconomic class (OR = 3.033; 95% CI = 1.622–5.673). Despite the report by Cruz [10] that overweight Hispanic youth with a family history of DM were at increased risk for MetS, we detected no association between MetS and family history for both DM and CVD. Individual risk factors except being overweight and obese may differ in other communities depending on different lifestyles.

In conclusion, the results of our study support the previous studies indicating that overweight and obesity have an important impact on the development of MetS among adolescents and the identification of additional clinic syndromes. Unless a proper lifestyle is adopted to prevent overweight and obesity, CVD and DM will increase more. In this concept, identification of adolescents at risk of MetS and focusing on health promotion will be a great contribution to community health and decrease health service costs.

## References

1. Agirbasli M, Cakir S, Ozme S, Ciliv G (2006) Metabolic syndrome in Turkish children and adolescents. *Metabolism* 55:1002–1006



2. Alegria E, Cordero A, Laclaustra M, Grima A, Leon M (2005) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the Spanish Working Population: MESYAS Registry. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 58:797–806
3. Atabek ME, Pirgon Ö, Kurtoglu S (2006) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in obese Turkish children and adolescents. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 72:315–321
4. Bayram F, Gündoğan K, Öztürk A, Yazıcı C (2006) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the world and Turkey. *Turk Klin J Int Med Sci* 2:18–24 (in Turkish)
5. Boney CM, Verma A, Tucker R, Vohr BR (2005) Metabolic syndrome in childhood: association with birth weight, maternal obesity, and gestational diabetes mellitus. *Pediatrics* 115:e290–e296
6. Braunschweig CL, Gomez S, Liang H, Tomey K, Doerfler B, Wang Y, Beebe C, Lipton R (2005) Obesity and risk factors for the metabolic syndrome among low-income, urban, African American schoolchildren: the rule rather than the exception. *Am J Clin Nutr* 81:970–975
7. Capabe ML, Alonso M, Colino E, Mustieles C, Corbaton J, Barrio R (2006) Frequency of the metabolic syndrome in obese Spanish pediatric population. *Eur J Endocrinol* 155:313–319
8. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH (2000) Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ* 320:1240–1243
9. Cook S, Weitzman M, Auinger P, Nguyen M, Dietz WH (2003) Prevalence of a metabolic syndrome phenotype in adolescents: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 157:821–827
10. Cruz ML, Weigensberg J, Huang TT-K, Ball G, Shaibi GQ, Goran M (2004) The metabolic syndrome in overweight Hispanic youth and the role of insulin sensitivity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 89:108–113
11. Csabi G, Török K, Jeges S, Molnar D (2000) Presence of metabolic cardiovascular syndrome in obese children. *Eur J Pediatr* 159:91–94
12. Davis CL, Flickinger B, Moore D, Bassali R, Domel Baxter S, Yin Z (2005) Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in schoolchildren in a rural Georgia community. *Am J Med Sci* 330:53–59
13. Ekelund U, Brage S, Froberg K, Harro M, Anderssen SA, Sardinha LB, Riddoch C, Andersen LB (2006) TV viewing and physical activity are independently associated with metabolic risk in children: The European Youth Heart Study. *PLoS Med* 3(12):e488
14. Esmailzadeh A, Mirmiran P, Azadbakht L, Etemadi A, Azizi F (200) High prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in Iranian adolescents. *Obesity* 14:377–382
15. Ferranti SD, Gauvreau K, Ludwig DS, Neufeld EJ, Newburger JW, Rifai N (2004) Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in American adolescents. *Circulation* 110:2494–2497
16. Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH (2002) Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *JAMA* 287:356–359
17. Gaillard TR, Schuster DP, Bossetti BM, Green A, Osei K (1997) The impact of socioeconomic status on cardiovascular risk factors in African-Americans at high risk for type II diabetes. Implications for syndrome X. *Diabetes Care* 20:745–752
18. Garipagaoglu M, Budak N, Süt N, Akdikmen Ö, Oner N, Bundak R (2009) Obesity risk factors in Turkish children. *J Ped Nurs* 24:332–337
19. Grundy SM, Cleeman JJ, Merz CN, Brewer HB, Clark LT, Hunninghake DB (2004) Implications of recent clinical trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines. *Circulation* 110:227–239
20. Grundy SM, Cleeman JJ, Daniels RS (2005) Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome. *An American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation* 112:5–12
21. International Diabetes Federation (2006) The IDF Consensus worldwide definition of the metabolic syndrome. What causes of the metabolic syndrome. IDF Communications, Belgium. Available at <http://www.idf.org/metabolic-syndrome>
22. Keskin M, Kurtoglu S, Kendirci M, Atabek ME, Yazici C (2005) Homeostasis model assessment is more reliable than the fasting glucose/insulin ratio and quantitative insulin sensitivity check index for assessing insulin resistance among obese children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 115:e500–e503
23. Kim HM, Park J, Kim HS, Kim DH (2007) Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in Korean adolescents ages 12–19 years from the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1998 and 2001. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 75:111–114
24. Kraja AT, Rao DC, Weber AB (2005) An evaluation of the metabolic syndrome in a large multi-ethnic study: The Family Blood Pressure Program. *Nutr Metab* 2:17
25. Lambert M, Paradis G, O'Loughlin J (2004) Insulin resistance syndrome in a representative sample of children and adolescents from Quebec, Canada. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 28:829–832
26. Mahley RW, Palaoglu KE, Atak Z, Dawson-Pepin J, Langlois AM, Cheung V, Onat A, Fulks P, Mahley LL, Vakar F (1995) Turkish Heart Study: lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins. *J Lipid Res* 36:839–859
27. McCarthy HD, Jarrett KV, Crawley HF (2001) The development of waist circumference percentiles in British children aged 5.0–16.9 y. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 55:902–907
28. McMurry RG, Bangdivale SI, Harrell JS, Amorim LD (2008) Adolescents with metabolic syndrome have a history of low aerobic fitness and physical activity levels. *Dyn Med* 7:5
29. Miccoli R, Miachi C, Odoquardi L, Penno G, Caricato F, Giovannitti M, Pucci L, Del Prato S (2005) Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among Italian adults according to ATP III definition. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 15:250–254
30. Mohan B, Kumar N, Aslam N, Rangbulla A, Kumbkarni S, Soosd NK, Wander GS (2004) Prevalence of sustained hypertension and obesity in urban and rural school going children in Ludhiana. *Indian Heart J* 56:310–314
31. Monzavi R, Dreimane D, Geffner ME, Braun S, Conrad B, Klier M, Kaufman FR (2006) Improvement in risk factors for metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in overweight youth who are treated with lifestyle intervention. *Pediatrics* 117:e1111–e1118
32. National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents (2004) The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 114:555–576 (supplement article)
33. Oliveria AM, Oliveria AC, Almeida MS, Oliveria N, Adan L (2007) Influence of the family nucleus on obesity in children from northeastern Brazil: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Pub Health* 7:235–245
34. Ozsahin AK, Gokcel A, Sezgin N, Akbaba M, Guvener N, Özsisik L, Karademir BM (2004) Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in a Turkish adult population. *Diabetes Nutr Metab* 17:230–234
35. Park YW, Zhu S, Palaniappan L, Heshka S, Carnethon MR, Heymsfield SB (2003) The metabolic syndrome: prevalence and associated risk factor findings in the US population from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. *Arch Intern Med* 163:427–436
36. Plourde G (2002) Impact of obesity on glucose and lipid profiles in adolescents at different age groups in relation to adulthood. *BMC Fam Pract* 3:18
37. Raitikari OT, Porkka KV, Ronnemaa T, Knip M, Uhari M, Akerblom HK, Vilkari JS (1995) The role of insulin in clustering

- of serum lipids and blood pressure in children and adolescents. The Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. *Diabetologia* 38:1042–1050
38. Salazar VB, Rodriguez MM, Guerrero RF (2005) Biochemical factors associated to cardiovascular risk among children and adolescents. *Rev Med Inst Mex Seguro Soc* 43:299–303
  39. Santos AC, Ebrahim S, Barros H (2008) Gender, socio-economic status and metabolic syndrome in middle-aged and old adults. *BMC Pub Health* 8:62
  40. Schiel R, Beltschikow W, Kramer G, Stein G (2006) Overweight, obesity and elevated blood pressure in children and adolescents. *Eur J Med Res* 11:97–101
  41. Shankuan Z, St-Onge MP, Heshka S, Heymsfield S (2004) Lifestyle, behaviours associated with lower risk of having the metabolic syndrome. *Metabolism* 53:1503–1511
  42. Sobal J, Stunkard AJ (1989) Socioeconomic status and obesity: a review of the literature. *Psychol Bull* 105:260
  43. Tresaco B, Bueno G, Moreno LA, Garagorri JM, Boeno M (2003) Insulin resistance and impaired glucose tolerance in obese children and adolescents. *J Physiol Biochem* 59:217–223
  44. Tumer N, Yalcinkaya F, Ince E, Ekim M, Kose K, Çakar N, Kara N, Ozkaya N, Ensari C, Önder S (1999) Blood pressure nomograms for children and adolescents in Turkey. *Pediatr Nephrol* 13:438–443
  45. Viner RM, Segal TY, Lichtarowicz-Krynska E, Hindmarsh P (2005) Prevalence of the insulin resistance syndrome in obesity. *Arch Dis Child* 90:10–14
  46. Weiss R, Dufour S, Taksali SE, Tamborlane WV, Peterson KF, Bonadonna RC, Boselli L, Barbetto G, Allen K, Rife F, Savoye M, Dziura J, Sherwin R, Shulman GI, Caprio S (2003) Prediabetes in obese youth: a syndrome of impaired glucose tolerance, severe insulin resistance, and altered myocellular and abdominal fat partitioning. *Lancet* 362:951–957
  47. Weiss R, Dziura J, Burgert T, Tamborlane W, Taksali S, Yeckel CW, Allen K, Lopes M, Savoye M, Morrison J, Sherwin R, Caprio S (2004) Obesity and the metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents. *N Engl J Med* 350:2362–2374
  48. Yoshinaga M, Tanaka S, Shimago A, Sameshima K, Nishi J, Nomura Y, Kawano Y, Hashiguchi J, Ichiki T, Shimizu S (2005) Metabolic syndrome in overweight and obese Japanese children. *Obes Res* 13:1135–1140